## Greetings,

I'm writing as a concerned citizen. I got to know the FCC when I was a kid in Jr High earning my amature raido licence. However, I am greatly distressed by how some of the other licences that you folks issue are being abused today.

With all the spin that is going on as we approach the Presidential election, it is vitally important that stations operating under public broadcast licenses actually do act in the public trust. This is why I am appalled at the Sinclair Broadcasting's decision to force their affiliate stations to air an anti-Kerry documentary days before the election. This is a clear example of the dangers of media consolidation.

I have heard them claim that this documentary is "news worthy", but they are clearly not even attempting to be "fair and ballanced" since they have no corresponding plan to air an anti-Bush or pro-Kerry documentary. If they air this, I think they should also air Fahrenheit 9/11, but clearly that ain't going to happen. Its truely ironic how warped this action is especially when just the other night I watched a very well

done (and well ballanced) documentary airing on PBS's show Frontline. This was fair and ballanced and informative and was truely news worthy. In contract, I find Sinclair's actions to be utterly disgracefull!

When stations such as Sinclair uses the public airwaves free of charge they are obligated by law to serve the public interest. However, when large companies which are more concerned about their profits and performance than serving in the public good control the airwaves this is not healthy for democracy. The Sinclair stations are clearly attempting to influence the ellection, and by freely and fairly informing voters but rather by airing a one-sided smear "documentary" just days before the election.

I sincerely hope that serious action is taken with respect to Sinclair's actions. Furthermore, I believe that media ownership rules need to be strengthened and enforced to prevent such blantent violations of public trust (Sinclair's actions are not only blatently political, but given the Bush administration's overly "friendly" attitude to large business monopolies,

their actions to influence the ellection are also taken in their own best interest).

Okay, enough ranting. I thank you for your time and I hope that the FCC will take actions to ensure that this type of abuse of the public trust does not continue.

Sincerely,

John Vano